	Case 3.10-cv-00230-1(C3-vvGC Docui	Hell 02   Hed 12/10/14   Page 1 01 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	UNITED STA	TES DISTRICT COURT
7	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
8		
9	EUGENE A. MAUWEE, SR.,	) 3:10-cv-00250-RCJ-WGC
10	Plaintiff,	ORDER
11	VS.	re: Doc. # 78
12	JACK PALMER, et al.,	
13	Defendants.	
14		_)
15	Before the court is Plaintiff's Motino to Strike Defendants' Second Motion for Summar	
16	Judgment. (Doc. # 78.) <sup>1</sup> Defendants have not responded to Plaintiff's motion and have sought leave to	
17	file a late response. (Doc. # 80.) The court believes it has sufficient information before it to decid	
18	Plaintiff's motion to strike without further briefing.	
19	Plaintiff's motion to strike was filed jointly with his opposition to Defendants' Second Motion	
20	for Summary Judgment. The clerk's office, however, docketed them as separate documents. The motion	
21	to strike appears as Doc. #78, and Plaintiff's opposition to the motion for summary judgment is logged	
22	as Doc. #77. This order only pertains to the r	motion to strike (Doc.# 78) component of Plaintiff's filing
23	In adopting this court's report and re-	commendation (Doc. # 60) and in subsequently rejecting
24	Defendants' objections to the report and recommendation (Doc. # 61), District Judge Robert C. Jone	
25	also specifically allowed Defendant to "file a successive motion for summary judgment based on the	
26	Hudson and Paratt line of cases." (Doc. # 70 at 2.) Defendants' second motion for summary judgmen	
27	is predicted primarily upon the argument that Plaintiff had available to him meaningful post-deprivation	
28		
	il 1	

Refers to court's docket number.

1	remedies, which is the gravamen of the <i>Hudson</i> and <i>Paratt</i> line of cases. (Doc.# 74 at 4-5.) Thus, thi	
2	court views Defendants' second motion for summary judgment as falling within the authorization grante	
3	Defendants by Judge Jones to file a successive motion for summary judgment.	
4	Therefore, without deciding whether Defendants' second motion for summary judgment (Doc	
5	# 74) does or does not present issues of merit, the court deems it is obligated to allow Defendants to	
6	submit those issues for consideration by the court. Plaintiff's motion to strike (Doc. # 78) is <b>DENIED</b>	
7	The component of Defendant's motion seeking leave to file a belated response to Plaintiff	
8	motion to strike (Doc. # 80) is <b>DENIED AS MOOT.</b>	
9	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
10	DATED: December 10, 2014.	
11	WILLIAM G. COBB	
12	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

Case 3:10-cv-00250-RCJ-WGC Document 82 Filed 12/10/14 Page 2 of 2